

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY D	OCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,197 11/20/2003		11/20/2003	Eng Huat Png	141866.00000-P1245US00 9796		
25207	7590	06/20/2006			EXAM	INER
POWELL C		PASCUA, JES F				
ONE ATLAI	NTER OR 1201 WEST PEA	ART	JNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ATLANTA, GA 30309-3488					

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s) PNG, ENG HUAT	
	10/718,197		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jes F. Pascua	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI OF CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. Ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI OF the by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>20 November 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for			
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the app	olication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 13</u> is/are rejected.		· •	
7) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>4-12 and 14</u> is/are objected to			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		•	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the E			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a			
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority do 			
2. Certified copies of the priority do			
3. Copies of the certified copies of		n received in this National Stage	
application from the Internationa		A STATE OF THE STA	
* See the attached detailed Office action f	for a list of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	y Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/29/03</u>.

6) Other: _____.

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 4-12 and 14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only and cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-12 and 14 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 284,297 to Hartwell.
- 6. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 1,304,773 to Howell.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 2,346,596 to Martin.
- 8. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by WIPO Publication No. WO 91/02657 to Ensor.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the

Art Unit: 3727

claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 571-272-4546. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,197

Art Unit: 3727

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jes F. Pascua Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

JFP